arXiv:0905.3298v6 [gr-qc] 21 May 2010

Removing black hole singularities with nonlinear electrodynamics

[1]Christian Corda and [2]Herman J. Mosquera Cuesta

May 24, 2010

[1]Associazione Galileo Galilei, Via Pier Cironi 16 - 59100 PRATO, Italy;
[2]Instituto de Cosmologia, Relatividade e Astrofisica (ICRA-BR), Centro Brasilero de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, CEP 22290 -180 Urca Rio de Janeiro - RJ Brazil

E-mail addresses: [1]*cordac.galilei@gmail.com;* [2]*herman@icra.it*

Abstract

We propose a way to remove black hole singularities by using a particular nonlinear electrodynamics Lagrangian that has been recently used in various astrophysics and cosmological frameworks. In particular, we adapt the cosmological analysis discussed in a previous work to the black holes physics. Such analysis will be improved by applying the Oppenheimer-Volkoff Equation to the black hole case. At the end, fixed the radius of the star, the final density depends only on the introduced *quintessential density term* ρ_γ and on the mass.

It is well known that the concept of black hole has been considered very fascinating by scientists even before the introduction of Einstein's general relativity (see [1] for an historical review). However, an unsolved problem concerning such objects is the presence of a space-time singularity in their core. Such a problem was present starting by the first historical papers concerning black holes [2, 3, 4] and was generalized in the famous paper by Penrose [5]. It is a common opinion that this problem could be solved when a correct quantum gravity theory will be, finally, constructed (see [6] for recent developments).

In this Letter, we propose a way to remove black hole singularities at a classical level. The idea is to use a particular nonlinear electrodynamics Lagrangian to address this issue. Such Lagrangian has been recently used in various analysis in astrophysics, like surface of neutron stars [7] and pulsars [8], and also on cosmological [9] contexts. In particular, we will adapt the cosmological analysis in [9] to the black hole case. The analysis will be improved by applying the Oppenheimer-Volkoff Equation [15] to the black hole case. In this

way, we show that the density singularity of a black hole is removed too. At the end, fixed the radius of the star, the final density depends only on ρ_γ, a *quintessential density term* which will be introduced in the following, and also on the star mass.

At this point it is very important to provide to the potential readers of the Letter some elements that were part of our motivations for using NonLinear Electrodynamics (NLED) in the search for physical methods to eliminate the black hole singularity in general relativity [19]. Those elements provide a good guide to understand the formal analysis that we are going to present below.

As it has been carefully explained in references [7, 8], the effects arising from a NLED become quite important in super-strongly magnetized compact objects, such as pulsars, and particular neutron stars. Some examples include the so-called magnetars and strange quark magnetars. In particular, NLED modifies in a fundamental basis the concept of Gravitational Redshift as compared to the well stablished method introduced by standard general relativity [7]. The analysis in reference [7] proved that unlike general relativity, where the Gravitational Redshift is independent of any background magnetic field, when a NLED is incorporated into the photon dynamics, an effective Gravitational Redshift appears, which happens to depend decidedly on the magnetic field pervading the pulsar. An analogous result has also been obtained in reference [8] for magnetars and strange quark magnetars. The resulting Gravitational Redshift tends to infinity as the magnetic field grows larger [7, 8], as opposed to the predictions of standard general relativity.

What it is important for our goal in this Letter is that the Gravitational Redshift of neutron stars is connected to the mass–radius relation of the object, see references [7, 8]. Thus, NLED effects turn out to be important as regard to the mass-radius relation, and one can also reasonably expect important effects in the case of black holes, where the mass-radius ratio is even more important than for a neutron star. Then, from a physical point of view, the formal analysis presented in the Letter displays a correct procedure to estimate the crucial physical properties stemming from NLED effects in presence of super strong magnetic fields. In fact, the formal discussion developed in the Letter shows that the quintessential density term permits to construct a model of star supported against self-gravity entirely by radiation pressure.

In this sense, the approach in our Letter is similar to the one introduced in the recent papers of references [20, 28], where it has been shown that it is possible to have radiation pressure supported stars at arbitrary value of high mass. The result is that trapped surfaces as defined in general relativity are not formed during a gravitational collapse, and hence the singularity theorem on black holes, as proposed in reference [5], cannot be applied.

It is well known that the conditions concerning the early era of the universe, when very high values of curvature, temperature and density were present [1], and where matter should be identified with a primordial plasma [1, 9, 10, 11], are similar to the conditions concerning black holes physics. This is exactly the motivation because the singularity theorem on black holes has been generalized to the Universe [1, 10, 11]. In the literature there are various cases where a

particular analysis on black holes has been applied to the Universe [1, 10, 11] and vice versa [1, 10, 11, 14].

We will re-discuss the simple case of an homogeneous and isotropic sphere that was analysed in the historical paper of Oppenheimer and Snyder [12] and, in a different approach, by Beckerdoff and Misner [13]. See also [1] for a review of the issue. In such a case, the well known Robertson-Walker line-element can be used, which represents comoving hyper-spherical coordinates for the interior of the star [1], and in terms of conformal time η it reads

$$ds^2 = a(\eta)(-d\eta^2 + d\chi^2 + \sin^2\chi(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2), \tag{1}$$

where $a(\eta)$ is the scale factor of a conformal space-time. Using $\sin^2\chi$ we are choosing the case of positive curvature, which is the only one of interest because it corresponds to a gas sphere whose dynamics begins at rest with a finite radius [1].

In [1, 12, 13] the simplest model of a *"star of dust"* has been discussed, i.e. the case of zero pressure. In this case the stress-energy tensor is

$$T = \rho u \otimes u, \tag{2}$$

where ρ is the density of the star and u the 4-vector velocity of the matter. Thus, working with $8\pi G = 1$, $c = 1$ and $\hbar = 1$ (natural units), following [9], the Einstein field equations give only one meaningful relation

$$(\frac{da}{d\eta})^2 + a^2 = \frac{\rho}{3}a^4, \tag{3}$$

which admits the familiar cycloidal solution

$$a = \frac{a_0}{2}(1 + \cos\eta), \tag{4}$$

where a_0 is a constant, that is singular for $\eta = \pi$.

Now, let us realize our modified model. We re-introduce a more general stress-energy tensor, i.e. the one regarding a relativistic perfect fluid [1, 9]:

$$T = \rho u \otimes u - pg, \tag{5}$$

where p is the pressure and g is the metric. In this case, by restoring the ordinary time with the substitution [1, 9]

$$dt = ad\eta, \tag{6}$$

the Einstein field equations give the relation

$$(\dot{a})^2 = \frac{\rho}{3}a^2 - 1, \tag{7}$$

where dot represents time-derivative.

We will use the non-linear electrodynamics Lagrangian studied in [9]. It describes the Heisenberg-Euler NLED

$$\mathcal{L}_m \equiv -\frac{1}{4}F + c_1 F^2 + c_2 G^2, \tag{8}$$

where $G = \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}F^{\alpha\beta}F^{\mu\nu}$, $F \equiv F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ is the electromagnetic scalar and c_1 and c_2 are constants [9].

Thus, we also use the equation of state

$$p = \frac{1}{3}\rho - \rho_\gamma, \tag{9}$$

where (see Eq.(25) of Ref. [9])

$$\rho_\gamma \equiv \frac{16}{3}c_1 B^4. \tag{10}$$

B is the strength of the magnetic field associated to F. In [9] the equation of state Eq. (9) has been obtained by performing an averaging on electric and magnetic fields. The presence of the *quintessential density term* ρ_γ will permit to violate the Null-Energy-Condition of the Penrose's Theorem [5].

The equation of state (9) is no longer given by the Maxwellian value. Thus, by inserting Eq. (9) and Eq. (10) into Eq. (7) one obtains [9]

$$\dot{a}^2 = \frac{B_0^2}{6a^2}\left(1 - \frac{8c_1 B_0^2}{a^4}\right) - 1, \tag{11}$$

which can be solved as obtained by [9]

$$t = \int_{a(0)}^{a(t)} dz \left(\frac{B_0^2}{6z^2} - \frac{8c_1 B_0^4}{6z^6} - 1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{12}$$

This expression is not singular for values of $c_1 > 0$. In fact, by using elliptic functions of the first and of second kind, one gets a parabolic trend near a minimum value in $t = t_f$ for $a(t)$, where t_f is the time which corresponds to the conformal time $\eta = \pi$.

In concrete terms, by calling l, m, n the solutions of the equation $8c_1 B_0^4 - B_0^2 x + 3x^3 = 0$, it is [9]

$$t = [-(m-l)^{\frac{1}{2}} A(\arcsin\sqrt{\frac{z-l}{m-l}}, \sqrt{\frac{l-m}{l-n}}) + n(m-l)^{-\frac{1}{2}} B(\arcsin\sqrt{\frac{z-l}{m-l}}, \sqrt{\frac{l-m}{l-n}})]|_{z=a^2(0)}^{z=a^2(t)}, \tag{13}$$

where

$$A(x,y) \equiv \int_0^{\sin x} dz[(1-z^2)^{-1}(1-y^2z^2)^{-1}] \tag{14}$$

is the elliptic function of the first kind and

$$B(x,y) \equiv \int_0^{\sin x} dz[((1-z^2)^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}((1-y^2z^2)^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}] \tag{15}$$

is the elliptic function of the second kind.

Then, by defining the minimum value of the scale factor like

$$a_f \equiv a(t = t_f), \tag{16}$$

and recalling that the Schwarzschild radial coordinate, in the case of the black hole geometry (1), is [1]

$$r = a \sin \chi_0, \tag{17}$$

where χ_0 is radius of the surface in the coordinates (1), one gets $r_f = a_f \sin \chi_0 > 2M$ if B_0 has an high strength, where M is the black hole mass and $2M$ the gravitational radius in natural units [1]. Thus, we find that the mass of the star generates a curved space-time without event horizons.

Now, let us consider the famous Oppenheimer - Volkoff Equation [15] (r the radial coordinate)

$$\frac{dp}{dr} = -\frac{(M + 4\pi r^3 p)(p + \rho)}{r(r - 2M)}. \tag{18}$$

Using eq. (9) one gets

$$\rho_f = \rho_0 - \int_{r_0}^{r_f} \frac{[3M + 4\pi r^3(\rho - 3\rho_\gamma)](4\rho - 3\rho_\gamma)}{r(r - 2M)} dr, \tag{19}$$

where $r_f \equiv r(t_f)$, $r_0 \equiv r(0)$, $\rho_f \equiv \rho(t_f)$, $\rho_0 \equiv \rho(0)$,
By defining

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_f \equiv \rho_{f1} + \rho_{f2} + \rho_{f3} + \rho_{f4} + \rho_{f5} \qquad && \rho_{f1} \equiv \rho_{01} - \textstyle\int_{r_0}^{r_f} \frac{12\rho M}{r(r-2M)} dr \\
&\rho_{f2} \equiv \rho_{02} + \textstyle\int_{r_0}^{r_f} \frac{9\rho_\gamma M}{r(r-2M)} dr && \rho_{f3} \equiv \rho_{03} - \textstyle\int_{r_0}^{r_f} \frac{16\pi r^3 \rho^2}{r(r-2M)} dr \\
&\rho_{f4} \equiv \rho_{04} + \textstyle\int_{r_0}^{r_f} \frac{60\pi r^3 \rho\rho_\gamma}{r(r-2M)} dr && \rho_{f5} \equiv \rho_{05} - \textstyle\int_{r_0}^{r_f} \frac{36\pi r^3 \rho_\gamma^2}{r(r-2M)} dr
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

the integral (19) can be computed by separating variables.
One then gets

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_f = \rho_{01} \tfrac{r_f}{r_0} \tfrac{r_0 - 2M}{r_f - 2M} + \rho_{02} + \rho_\gamma 9M \ln \tfrac{r_0}{r_f} \tfrac{r_f - 2M}{r_0 - 2M} + \\
+ \{\rho_{03}^{-1} 16\pi [r_0(r_0 - r_f) + \tfrac{1}{2}(r_0^2 - r_f^2) + 4M^2 \ln \tfrac{r_f - 2M}{r_0 - 2M}]\}^{-1} + \\
+ \rho_{04} \exp 60\pi [r_0(r_0 - r_f) + \tfrac{1}{2}(r_0^2 - r_f^2) + 4M^2 \ln \tfrac{r_f - 2M}{r_0 - 2M}] + \\
+ \rho_{05} + 36\pi\rho_\gamma^2 [r_0(r_0 - r_f) + \tfrac{1}{2}(r_0^2 - r_f^2) + 4M^2 \ln \tfrac{r_f - 2M}{r_0 - 2M}].
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

A reasonable assumption is $\rho \to 0$ for $r \to r_{0,}$ i.e. at the surface of the star. In this case it is $\rho_{01} = \rho_{02} = \rho_{f03} = \rho_{04} = \rho_{05} \simeq 0$, and the final density reduces to

$$\rho_f = \rho_\gamma 9M \ln \frac{r_0}{r_f}\frac{r_f - 2M}{r_0 - 2M} + 36\pi\rho_\gamma^2[r_0(r_0 - r_f) + \frac{1}{2}(r_0^2 - r_f^2) + 4M^2 \ln \frac{r_f - 2M}{r_0 - 2M}]. \quad (22)$$

Thus, we have shown that the density singularity has been removed too and that, fixed the radius of the star, the final density depends only by the introduced *quintessential density term* ρ_γ and by the mass.

Summarizing, adapting to the black hole case, a cosmological analysis in [9], we have shown that the introduction of the Heisenberg-Euler NLED (8) in the black hole physics can, in principle, remove the black hole singularity for values of $c_1 > 0$ in Eq. (8). The analysis has been be improved by applying the Oppenheimer - Volkoff Equation [15] to the black hole case. In this way, the density singularity of a black hole has been removed too. We found that at the end, fixed the radius of the star, the final density depends only by ρ_γ and by the mass of the black hole.

We are going to further improve the analysis by using the Born-Infeld Lagrangian in the context of black holes physics in a future work [16].

Finally, we take the chance to stress that this result comes to follow in the same direction of the papers on this issue pioneered by Mitra [17, 21, 22] and Robertson, Leiter and Schild [18, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27], who have shown that the formation of trapped surfaces during the gravitational collapse can be avoided if the collapsing star develops a powerful magnetic field of strength of $> 10^{10}G$, or equivalently, an intrinsic magnetic moment on the order of $10^{29}G - cm^3$, in the case of galactic black hole candidates.

For a sake of completeness [29], we recall previous works attempting to remove black holes singularities using nonlinear electrodynamics by Ayon-Beato and Garcia in [30, 31, 32, 33, 34].

Acknowledgements

The authors thank an unknown reviewer for helpful advices which permitted to improve this work.

References

[1] C. W. Misner , K. S. Thorne and Wheeler JA - *Gravitation* - W.H.Feeman and Company - 1973

[2] K. Schwarzschild - *On the gravitational field of a sphere of incompressible fluid according to Einstein's theory*, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (Math. Phys.) 1916 (1916) 424-434

[3] G. D. Birkhoff - *Relativity and Modern Physics*. Cambrigdge, MA: Harvard University Press. LCCN 23008297 (1923)

[4] S. Chandrasekhar - *The Highly Collapsed Configurations of a Stellar Mass*, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 91 (1931), 456–466.

[5] R. Penrose - Phys. Rev. Lett. 14, 57 - 59 (1965)

[6] T. Padmanabhan - *Gravity: the inside story,* Gravity Research Foundation First Arward Essay - Gen. Rel. Grav. 40, 10, 2031-2036 (2008)

[7] H. J. Mosquera Cuesta and J. M. Salim - Mont. Not. Roy. Ast. Soc. 354, L55-L59 (2004)

[8] H. J. Mosquera Cuesta and J. M. Salim - Ap. J. 608, 925-929 (2004)

[9] V. A. De Lorenci, R. Klippert, M. Novello and J. M. Salim - Phys. Rev. D 65, 063501 (2002)

[10] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Spacetime,* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1973

[11] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity* ,Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984 .

[12] J. R. Oppenheimer and H. Snyder- Phys. Rev. 56, 455 - 459 (1939)

[13] D. L. Beckerdoff and C. W. Misner - D. L. Beckerdoff's A. B. Senior Thesis, Princeton Univeristy (1962)

[14] L. Modesto - Phys.Rev. D70, 124009 (2004)

[15] J. R. Oppenheimer and G. M. Volkoff - Phys. Rev. 55, 374 - 381 (1939)

[16] H. J. Mosquera Cuesta and Christian Corda - in preparation

[17] A. Mitra, Found. Phys. Lett. 13: 543 (2000)

[18] D. Leiter and S. Robertson, Found. Phys. Lett. 16, 2, 143-161 (2003)

[19] Private communication with the reviewer

[20] A. Mitra, N. K. Glendenning, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. Lett. 404, 1, L50-L54 (2010)

[21] A. Mitra, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4774 (1998)

[22] A. Mitra, A New proof for non-occurrence of trapped surfaces and information paradox, astro-ph/0408323

[23] S. Robertson and D. Leiter, Astrophys. J. 565: 447-454 (2002)

[24] S. Robertson and D. Leiter, Astrophys. J. 596: L203-L206 (2003)

[25] S. Robertson and D. Leiter, Mon. Roy. Astron. Soc. 50:1391 (2004)

[26] R. Schild, D. Leiter and S. Robertson, Astron. J. 2: 420-432 (2006)

[27] D. Leiter and S. Robertson, Einstein revisited: Gravitation in curved spacetime without event horizons, Presented at APS Spring Washington Meeting, Washington, District of Columbia, 28 Apr - 1 May 2001, gr-qc/0101025

[28] A. Mitra, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 369, 492 (2006)

[29] Private communication with Professor E. Ayon-Beato

[30] E. Ayon-Beato and A. Garcia, Phys. Lett. B 464, 25 (1999)

[31] E. Ayon-Beato and A. Garcia, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5056 (1998)

[32] E. Ayon-Beato and A. Garcia, Gen. Rel. Grav. 31, 629 (1999)

[33] E. Ayon-Beato and A. Garcia, Phys. Lett. B 493, 149 (2000)

[34] E. Ayon-Beato and A. Garcia, Gen. Rel. Grav. 37, 635 (2005)